Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—If it has been paid, it will have been deposited with the Public Works Department and the Municipality need not bear the interest.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟಿಲ್ಲದೆ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್ ಇತ್ತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—It is stated that the financial position is very poor. May I know what is the income and expenditure of this particular Municipality?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is irrelevant.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Do the Government propose to take back the loan amount from the Municipality?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The amount is not given.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಆರ್. ಬದರಿನಾರಾಯಣ್.—ಅವರ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಾಲ ಏಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಿ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. 50,000 ಕ್ಕೆ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿತ್ತು, ಎರಡು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವಷ್ಟು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಆರ್. ಬದರಿನಾರಾಯಣ್.—ಐವತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಈಗ ಆರ್ಥಿಕಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ, ಕೆಲಸ ಪುರುಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೆ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—All the Hon'ble Members may resume their seats. I call the net question.

Unauthorised sale of Gold at Madras and Davangere.

Q.—133. Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Sampagaon I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that gold from Kolar Gold Mines was sold unauthorisedly at Madras and Davangere during last six months or so;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that two ex-Ministers of the old Mysore State are involved in that;

(*c*) whether they have enquired about such sale;

(*d*) the loss incurred by Government in this behalf?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No,

(*b*) Does not arise.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*a*).

(*d*) Does not arise.

*Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The reply given may be corrected as follows:—

"There were certain allegations in the Press. Investigations so far reveal that the allegations are baseless."

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are clauses (*a*), (*b*), (*c*) and (*d*) to the question.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a common answer to all the clauses. The answer is: "There were certain allegations in the Press. Investigations so far reveal that the allegations are baseless."

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I know whether he was not an ex-Minister against whom these allegations are made?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have already answered that.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is there an atom of evidence to show, on record, to the fact that there was indirect correspondence between the company and the ex-Minister?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have already said that the allegations were investigated into and were found baseless.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that these negotiations were settled by the interference of the Central Government?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. It is out of the scope of the question. When the Government say that the allegations made in the Press are absolutely false or are not based on facts, there is an end of the matter.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I would like to know the sort of investigation the Government made in this matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not allow that question.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot disclose it.

Sri G. VENKATEGOWDA.—Have Government investigated the sources as to how the Press got this information?

Mr. SPEAKER.—How can they ? It is also outside the scope of the question.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—How did Government come to a conclusion that the rumours were baseless ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—After making investigations.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I am asking for the data.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot give the data.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—Who are the authorised sellers of gold of K.G.F. ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also outside the scope of the question.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know whether the Government would be pleased to place on the table of this House a copy of the investigation ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is not in the public interest to do so.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಾಜೀ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕೋಲಾರದ ಚಿನ್ನದಗಣಿಯ ಬಂಗಾರದ ಅನಧಿಕೃತ ಮಾರಾಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ್ದರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಒಬ್ಬರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ದಿನ್ಮೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದು ನಿಜವೆ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಪ್ರಭುತ್ವ ಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದಮೇಲೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಬೇರೆ ಉತ್ತರದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—In view of the gravity of the allegations made in the Press, what steps Government have taken to prevent recurrence of such false rumours ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is outside the scope of the question.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased to tell the name, and the rank of the officer to whom this investigation work was entrusted ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is not in the public interest to disclose it.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know whether the Government referred this matter to the Anti-Corruption Department ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That again cannot be disclosed.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—When the Government came to the conclusion that the allegations were baseless, did they make any efforts to deny those allegations ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The reply is, 'investigation made so far reveal that the allegations are baseless.'

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that this propaganda is carried out by the political enemies of Sri H. Siddaveerappa and another Minister ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. How is the Government concerned about it ?

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I know in which Press these allegations appeared?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—So far as I know, in "Viswakarnataka" and "Prapancha".

Sri V. S. PATIL.—In view of the statement made by the Chief Minister that the allegations made are baseless, has Government taken any steps against those who published this news?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That is being considered.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Sir, the Hon'ble the Chief Minister said in answer to the questions put by some of my friends that in public interest it was impossible to place the details of investigation proceedings before this House. Have we not assembled here to safeguard the public interest ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Member may resume his seat. This question has arisen on account of the fact that my friend Sri Veerappa is a new member in this House. When a question is asked and when the Government says it is not in the public interest to disclose the information or that it is of a confidential nature, neither I nor any member of the House has any right to compel the Government; there is an end of the matter.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the investigation is being pursued or the matter has been finally closed ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That again cannot be disclosed in the public interest.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಕ್ ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—(c) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ದವರನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸಿದ್ದಾರಯೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಲು, ಇಲ್ಲ, ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಯಾವ ಇರಾಜೆಯ ಆಫೀಸರು ಅದನ್ನು ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದರು ? ಯಾವ ಗ್ರೇಡ್ ಆಫೀಸರು ? ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಕಾರ್ಯಸ್ಥೀಬಲೇ ಅಥವಾ ದಫೇದಾರರೇ, ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂದು ಮೊದಲೇ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿ

(ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು)

ದ್ದಾರೆ. When I say that it has been asked and answered, let Hon'ble Members have faith in me. I am not interested in shutting out questions being put.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಚ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವೇ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಾನು ಮೊದಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

*Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—On a point of order, Sir. Supposing a question is put by an Hon'ble Member on the floor this House which is being answered by of the Government. When that member is going on putting supplementaries, until that member completes all his supplementaries, he is supposed to be on his legs.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When a question is put and supplementaries are being asked, a number of members may rise for putting supplementaries. As soon as I call one member to put a question, the rest of the Hon'ble Members should take their seats. If I allow a member to put a number of supplementaries till he sits down, the other members should not rise. Supposing a member puts a question, I will allow him to put one or two supplementaries and ask him to sit down and ask the other members to put other supplementaries.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—When supplementaries are being asked by a member who has moved a question, is he not considered to be on his legs ?

Mr. SPEAKER—No, no. When a supplementary is put and the reply is being given, the Hon'ble Member takes his seat. He will have to rise again when he wants to put another supplementary. He will not be on his legs. The position is like this. If an Hon'ble Member wants to put a question, he must stand, put the question and resume his seat ; the Minister will give a reply and will sit down, and so on.

Sri. K KENCHAPPA.—When a member puts a question and it is being answered by Government, then, according to me, it is to be taken that the member putting the question is to be taken to be on his legs for the purpose of putting further supplementaries to the same question. Because he sits down while the answer is being given, it does not mean that his supplementaries are exhausted and that he has to take his chance along with others to ask further supplementaries.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Who is on his legs and who is not on his legs has to be decided by me. If the Hon'ble Member Sri Kenchappa were to decide that question, I would be at sea. This is a very simple question without any complication. An Hon'ble Member stands up to put a supplementary question. I call upon him to put his question. He puts his question and resumes his seat. Then the Minister gives the reply. If the same member wants to put another question, he has to rise again. I may or may not call upon him to put further supplementary questions. I cannot understand what difficulty is there in this. A person who sits after putting a question cannot in any sense be said to be on his legs.

* Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—This difficulty seems to have arisen on account of the new procedure. Formerly, when a member got up and was called upon to put supplementaries, he was allowed to put all his supplementaries and later on he was not allowed to put any further supplementaries. But, now that practice is changed. When a member is called upon, he puts a single supplementary question and sits down, his right to put supplementary questions ends there. He cannot be allowed again to go on putting further supplementaries. He has got to take his chance along with others. Formerly, when a member was called upon to put supplementaries, until he exhausted all his supplementaries he used to be taken to be on his legs and no other member was allowed to ask supplementaries. That is the difficulty of the Hon'ble Member Sri Kenchappa.

*Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—To avoid all these difficulties, I would suggest that the previous practice may be adopted. Previously, when a member was called upon by the Chair to put supplementaries he was supposed to be on his legs until he exhausted all his supplementaries and no other

member was allowed to intervene and put his supplementaries. So there was continuity in the supplementaries put by that member. Under the present procedure the continuity of the man putting supplementaries is disturbed. I would, therefore, suggest that we may revert to the old practice.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—I think that the change that has been made is for the better. Under the previous practice once a member put his supplementaries he was not given any further chance. Under the new practice a member puts a few questions and sits down giving chance to other members. In this way he gets time to think and put further supplementaries if he so desires. Therefore, this new practice is more convenient to the members to put their supplementaries and so this should not be changed.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎ೯. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ಪಾಮಿ, ಈಗತಾನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು ಹೇೞಿದಹಾಗೆ ಈ ಹೊಸ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇೞುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಹಿಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಮ್ಮೆ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಉಪಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇೞುವದು ಮುಗಿದ ನಂತರ ಪುನಃ ಉಪಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇೞಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗಿರುವ ಹೊಸ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ದೊರೆತು ಪುನಃ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇೞಲು ಬರುವದು ಮತ್ತು ಇದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಮೊದಲಿಗಿಂತ ಈ ಹೊಸ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯೇ ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—I think you will have to keep up both the practices to give full justice to the members. In order to keep the trend of the interpellations it is necessary that one should be allowed to put questions until he exhausts his questions. At the same time, that should not mean that he would be prevented from putting further supplementaries after some time. Perhaps, there may be very little left for him to ask when once he exhausts his supplementaries. All the same, it should be open to him to put further supplementaries if he wants. I think it would also be to the best advantage of the House if a member is allowed to put his supplementaries one after the other without any break. Otherwise, these supplementaries will go on and there will be no end to the supplementaries.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Replying to the first point raised by the Hon'ble Member Sri Channabasappa, I think I cannot go back. The practice that I have adopted should be satisfactory and I am glad that it is satisfactory to all the members. Of course, there may be a few difficulties here and there. I shall try to rectify them.

As regards the other point raised, it is impossible to concede that a member who is sitting should be taken as standing on his legs. Nobody can agree to that. When a person stands on his legs, then only it is said that he is on his legs. If he sits, he sits in his seat and he cannot be said to be standing on his legs. It is impossible to accept the contention of the Hon'ble Member that a member who is sitting after putting his question while the Minister is replying to the question, should be taken as being in possession of the House. I hope my ruling is correct. When a Member stands on his legs he is taken to be on his legs and when he sits he cannot be taken as standing on his legs. That should be the end of the matter.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Sir, my supplementaries to question No. 133 are not finished.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Supplementaries are never finished. I have got to stop them somewhere, otherwise they go on multiplying. Sometimes it may be a pleasant end or an unpleasant end. I have got to stop them somewhere. I would allow only a few more supplementaries. This is a small matter, but I find that sometimes even small matters are assuming great importance.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Government was pleased to say that it was considering the question of publishing the investigation report. I want to know when it would be published.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have never said anything of that kind.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Can Government publish the report of the investigation?

Mr. SPEAKER.—They say that it is not in the public interest.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Was an opportunity given to the editor of the paper which published this matter to satisfy

(SRI M. RAMAPPA.)

Government about the truth of the allegations made ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Does this allegation relate to the period prior to nationalisation or subsequent to nationalisation ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It relates to the period prior to nationalisation.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—On a point of information, Sir. One type of answer is given in the Question List and in the course of answers given by the Chief Minister there is a complete change-over. Is it permissible ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not find that there is any change-over. There is only an addition to the reply.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—The replies to clauses (*b*), (*c*) & (*d*) are 'Does not arise'. It means that what has been stated in clause (*a*) is a complete contradiction or negation of what has been stated in clause (*c*).

Mr. SPEAKER.—It seems he is correct.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I do not think there is any contradiction.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಆಪಾದನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಚಿನ್ನ ಕದಿಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈ ಆಪಾದನೆ ನಿಜವಾದುದಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಭಾವಿಸುವರೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದ ಮಾತು.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it a fact that these allegations have been made in the Press after the nationalisation of the Kolar Gold Mines ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Yes.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—A little while ago, the Chief Minister said that they were made before nationalisation.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—What I said was that the allegations relate to the period prior to nationalisation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆಪಾದನೆ ಹೊರಪಟ್ಟಮೇಲೆ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿದಿರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಮಾಡಿದಿರೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಬ್ಲಿಷ್ ಆದಮೇಲೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಕಳ್ಳ ತನದಿಂದ ಸಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಧಾರ ಅಥವಾ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ? ನಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡದೆ ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಸಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಸಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದೇ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವಾಗ ತನಿಖೆ ಎಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಇದು ದೇಶದ ಹಣಕಾಸಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದ ವಿಷಯ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ತನಿಖೆಯ ವಿವರ ಹಾಗೂ ಯಾರು ನಡೆಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಯಬಹುದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದ ಮಾತು.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—It was stated that this allegation refers to the period prior to the nationalisation of Kolar Gold Mines. Under what authority has Government taken up investigation ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is very obvious. Government has every authority.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—May I know whether any enquiry committee was set up for investigation in view of the fact that allegations were levelled against most responsible persons like ex-Ministers ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—No necessity was felt.

Gold produced in K.G.F. since Nationalisation.

Q.—152. Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY (Chicknaikanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the quantity of gold produced every month in K.G.F. since nationalisation ;

(*b*) the amount of gold produced in corresponding months of the previous two years ?

A.— Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) *Month*	*Fine oz.*
December, 1956 ...	13,656
January, 1957 ...	12,954
February, 1957 ...	14,027
March, 1957 ...	14,179
April, 1957 ...	14,330